## Mathematics tests

KEY STAGE ${ }^{3}$ ALL TIERS

2006

## Introduction

The test papers will be marked by external markers. The markers will follow the mark scheme in this booklet, which is provided here to inform teachers.

This booklet contains the mark scheme for paper 1 at all tiers. The paper 2 mark scheme is printed in a separate booklet. Questions have been given names so that each one has a unique identifier irrespective of tier.

## The structure of the mark schemes

The marking information for questions is set out in the form of tables, which start on page 12 of this booklet. The columns on the left-hand side of each table provide a quick reference to the tier, question number, question part, and the total number of marks available for that question part.

The Correct response column usually includes two types of information:

- a statement of the requirements for the award of each mark, with an indication of whether credit can be given for correct working, and whether the marks are independent or cumulative
- examples of some different types of correct response, including the most common.

The Additional guidance column indicates alternative acceptable responses, and provides details of specific types of response that are unacceptable. Other guidance, such as when 'follow through' is allowed, is provided as necessary.

Questions with a Using and applying mathematics element are identified in the mark scheme by an encircled $U$ with a number that indicates the significance of using and applying mathematics in answering the question. The $U$ number can be any whole number from 1 to the number of marks in the question.

For graphical and diagrammatic responses, including those in which judgements on accuracy are required, marking overlays have been provided as the centre pages of this booklet.

The 2006 key stage 3 mathematics tests and mark schemes were developed by the Mathematics Test Development Team at Edexcel.

## General guidance

## Using the mark schemes

Answers that are numerically equivalent or algebraically equivalent are acceptable unless the mark scheme states otherwise.

In order to ensure consistency of marking, the most frequent procedural queries are listed on the following two pages with the prescribed correct action. This is followed by further guidance relating to marking of questions that involve money, negative numbers, algebra, time, coordinates or probability. Unless otherwise specified in the mark scheme, markers should apply the following guidelines in all cases.

What if ...
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|r|l|}\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { The pupil's response } \\
\text { does not match } \\
\text { closely any of the } \\
\text { examples given. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Markers should use their judgement in deciding whether the response } \\
\text { corresponds with the statement of requirements given in the Correct response } \\
\text { column. Refer also to the Additional guidance. }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { The pupil has } \\
\text { responded in a } \\
\text { non-standard way. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Calculations, formulae and written responses do not have to be set out in any } \\
\text { particular format. Pupils may provide evidence in any form as long as its } \\
\text { meaning can be understood. Diagrams, symbols or words are acceptable for } \\
\text { explanations or for indicating a response. Any correct method of setting out } \\
\text { working, however idiosyncratic, is acceptable. Provided there is no ambiguity, } \\
\text { condone the continental practice of using a comma for a decimal point. }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { The pupil has made a } \\
\text { conceptual error. }\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}In some questions, a method mark is available provided the pupil has made <br>
a computational, rather than conceptual, error. A computational error is <br>
a slip such as writing 4 \times 6=18 in an otherwise correct long multiplication. <br>
A conceptual error is a more serious misunderstanding of the relevant <br>
mathematics; when such an error is seen no method marks may be awarded. <br>
Examples of conceptual errors are: misunderstanding of place value, such as <br>

multiplying by 2 rather than 20 when calculating 35 \times 27 ; subtracting the\end{array}\right\}\)| smaller value from the larger in calculations such as 45 - 26 to give the |
| :--- |
| answer 21; incorrect signs when working with negative numbers. |$|$

## What if ...

| The final answer is wrong but the correct answer is shown in the working. | Where appropriate, detailed guidance will be given in the mark scheme and must be adhered to. If no guidance is given, markers will need to examine each case to decide whether: <br> the incorrect answer is due to a transcription error; | If so, award the mark. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in questions not testing accuracy, the correct answer has been given but then rounded or truncated; | If so, award the mark. |
|  | the pupil has continued to give redundant extra working which does not contradict work already done; | If so, award the mark. |
|  | the pupil has continued, in the same part of the question, to give redundant extra working which does contradict work already done. | If so, do not award the mark. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld. |
| The pupil's answer is correct but the wrong working is seen. | A correct response should always be marked as correct unless the mark scheme states otherwise. |  |
| The correct response has been crossed or rubbed out and not replaced. | Mark, according to the mark scheme, any legible crossed or rubbed out work that has not been replaced. |  |
| More than one answer is given. | If all answers given are correct or a range of answers is given, all of which are correct, the mark should be awarded unless prohibited by the mark scheme. If both correct and incorrect responses are given, no mark should be awarded. |  |
| The answer is correct but, in a later part of the question, the pupil has contradicted this response. | A mark given for one part should not be disallowed for working or answers given in a different part, unless the mark scheme specifically states otherwise. |  |

## Marking specific types of question

| Responses involving money <br> For example: $£ 3.20$ £7 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Accept $\checkmark$ | Do not accept $\times$ |
| $\checkmark$ Any unambiguous indication of the correct amount <br> eg $£ 3.20$ (p), $£ 3$ 20, $£ 3,20$, 3 pounds 20, £3-20, £3 20 pence, $£ 3: 20$, <br> £7.00 <br> $\checkmark$ The unit, $£$ or $p$, is usually printed in the answer space. Where the pupil writes an answer outside the answer space with no units, accept responses that are unambiguous when considered alongside the given units eg with f given in the answer space, accept 3.20 7 or 7.00 <br> $\checkmark$ Given units amended <br> eg with $f$ crossed out in the answer space, accept 320p 700p | x Incorrect or ambiguous indication of the amount <br> eg $£ 320, \mathrm{f} 320$ p or $£ 700$ p <br> x Ambiguous use of units outside the answer space <br> eg with f given in the answer space, do not accept 3.20p outside the answer space <br> x Incorrect placement of decimal points, spaces, etc or incorrect use or omission of 0 <br> eg $£ 3.2, £ 3$ 200, $£ 320, £ 3-2-0$ £7.0 |

Responses involving negative numbers
For example: -2

| Accept $\checkmark$ | Do not accept $\times$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| To avoid penalising the error below <br> more than once within each question, <br> do not award the mark for the first <br> occurrence of the error within each <br> question. Where a question part <br> carries more than one mark, only the <br> final mark should be withheld. |  |
|  |  |

## Responses involving the use of algebra

For example: $2+n \quad n+2 \quad 2 n \quad \frac{n}{2} \quad n^{2}$

| Accept $\checkmark$ | Take care ! Do not accept $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```\checkmark Unambiguous use of a different case or variable eg N used for } x used for }``` | ! Unconventional notation $\text { eg } \begin{aligned} & n \times 2 \text { or } 2 \times n \text { or } n 2 \\ & \text { or } n+n \text { for } 2 n \\ & \\ & n \times n \text { for } n^{2} \\ & \\ & n \div 2 \text { for } \frac{n}{2} \text { or } \frac{1}{2} n \\ & \\ & 2+1 n \text { for } 2+n \\ & 2+0 n \text { for } 2 \end{aligned}$ <br> Within a question that demands simplification, do not accept as part of a final answer involving algebra. Accept within a method when awarding partial credit, or within an explanation or general working. <br> $\times$ Embedded values given when solving equations <br> eg in solving $3 x+2=32$, $3 \times 10+2=32 \text { for } x=10$ <br> To avoid penalising the two types of error below more than once within each question, do not award the mark for the first occurrence of each type within each question. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld. |
| $\checkmark$ Words used to precede or follow equations or expressions <br> eg $t=n+2$ tiles or tiles $=t=n+2$ <br> for $t=n+2$ | ! Words or units used within equations or expressions <br> eg $n$ tiles +2 $n \mathrm{~cm}+2$ <br> Do not accept on their own. Ignore if accompanying an acceptable response. |
| $\checkmark$ Unambiguous letters used to indicate expressions <br> eg $t=n+2$ for $n+2$ | $\times$ Ambiguous letters used to indicate expressions eg $\quad n=n+2$ for $n+2$ |


| Responses involving time <br> A time interval For example: 2 hours 30 minutes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Accept $\downarrow$ | Take care ! Do not accept $\times$ |
| $\checkmark$ Any unambiguous indication eg 2.5 (hours), 2 h 30 <br> $\checkmark$ Digital electronic time ie 2:30 | x Incorrect or ambiguous time interval eg 2.3(h), 2.30, 2-30, 2h 3, 2.30 min <br> ! The unit, hours and/or minutes, is usually printed in the answer space. Where the pupil writes an answer outside the answer space, or crosses out the given unit, accept answers with correct units, unless the question has specifically asked for other units to be used. |
| A specific time For example: 8:40am | 17:20 |
| Accept $\sqrt{ }$ | Do not accept $\times$ |
| $\checkmark$ Any unambiguous, correct indication <br> eg 08.40, 8.40, 8:40, 0840, 840 , $8-40$, twenty to nine, 8,40 <br> $\checkmark$ Unambiguous change to 12 or 24 hour clock <br> eg 17:20 as 5:20pm, 17:20pm | x Incorrect time <br> eg $8.4 \mathrm{am}, 8.40 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> x Incorrect placement of separators, spaces, etc or incorrect use or omission of 0 <br> eg 840, 8:4:0, 084, 84 |

## Responses involving coordinates

For example: (5, 7)

| Accept $\checkmark$ | Do not accept $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \checkmark \text { Unconventional notation } \\ & \text { eg }(05,07) \\ &(\text { five, seven ) } \\ &(5,7) \\ &(x=5, y=7) \end{aligned}$ | x Incorrect or ambiguous notation <br> eg $(7,5)$ <br> $\left(7, \begin{array}{l}x \\ 5\end{array}\right)$ <br> ( $5 x, 7 y$ ) <br> $\left(5^{x}, 7^{y}\right)$ <br> $(x-5, y-7)$ |

## Responses involving probability

A numerical probability should be expressed as a decimal, fraction or percentage only.
For example: $0.7 \quad \frac{7}{10} \quad 70 \%$

| Accept $\checkmark$ | Take care ! Do not accept $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Equivalent decimals, fractions and percentages $\text { eg } \quad 0.700, \frac{70}{100}, \frac{35}{50}, 70.0 \%$ | The first four categories of error below should be ignored if accompanied by an acceptable response, but should not be accepted on their own. <br> However, to avoid penalising the first three types of error below more than once within each question, do not award the mark for the first occurrence of each type of error unaccompanied by an acceptable response. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld. |
| $\checkmark$ A probability correctly expressed in one acceptable form which is then incorrectly converted, but is still less than 1 and greater than 0 <br> eg $\frac{70}{100}=\frac{18}{25}$ | ! A probability that is incorrectly expressed <br> eg 7 in 10 <br> 7 over 10 <br> 7 out of 10 <br> 7 from 10 |
|  | ! A probability expressed as a percentage without a percentage sign. |
|  | ! A fraction with other than integers in the numerator and/or denominator. |
|  | ! A probability expressed as a ratio eg 7:10, 7:3, 7 to 10 |
|  | $\times$ A probability greater than 1 or less than 0 |

## Recording marks awarded on the test paper

All questions, even those not attempted by the pupil, will be marked, with a 1 or a 0 entered in each marking space. Where 2 m can be split into 1 m gained and 1 m lost, with no explicit order, then this will be recorded by the marker as 1

The total marks awarded for a double page will be written in the box at the bottom of the right-hand page, and the total number of marks obtained on the paper will be recorded on the front of the test paper.

A total of 120 marks is available in each of tiers 3-5, 4-6 and 6-8.
A total of 121 marks is available in tier 5-7.

## Awarding levels

The sum of the marks gained on paper 1, paper 2 and the mental mathematics paper determines the level awarded. Level threshold tables, which show the mark ranges for the award of different levels, will be available on the NAA website www.naa.org.uk/tests from Monday 19 June 2006. NAA will also send a copy to each school in July.

Schools will be notified of pupils' results by means of a marksheet, which will be returned to schools by the external marking agency with the pupils' marked scripts. The marksheet will include pupils' scores on the test papers and the levels awarded.
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| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Step sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a |  |  |  | 1m | Shows a correct way, other than add 8 then add 12 , using exactly two steps eg | $\checkmark$ Add 12 then add 8 <br> $\checkmark$ Fractions, decimals or negatives <br> ! Operations omitted Condone, provided the directions of any arrows, if shown, are correct eg, accept <br> ! Arrows not shown or not consistent with their numbers <br> Condone, provided the directions of any arrows, if shown, are correct eg, accept |
| b |  |  |  | 1 m | 5 | ! Answer shown only on the diagram eg <br> Accept provided there is no ambiguity |
| c |  |  |  | 1 m | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \mathrm{~m}$ $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ or equivalent <br> 8 | $\times$ Answer of -8 |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Temperature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  |  | 1m | Indicates 8 on the second thermometer | ! Inaccurate indication <br> Accept provided the indication is nearer to the correct integer than either of the neighbouring integers |
|  |  |  |  | 1m | Indicates -2 on the third thermometer | $!$ Responses for the second and third thermometers transposed but otherwise correct Mark as 0,1 |




| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Computation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a |  |  |  | 1m | 83 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 m | 185 |  |
| b |  |  |  | 1m | 37 |  |
| c |  |  |  | 1m | 62 |  |



| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Adding three |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 2 |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \mathrm{~m}$ $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | $4 \frac{3}{4}$ or equivalent $-2$ |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Changing numbers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a |  |  | 1m | 30 |  |
| b | b |  |  | 1 m | 1012 |  |
| c | c |  |  | 1 m | 12 |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Red Kites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 4 |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a |  |  | 1m | 1992 | $\checkmark$ Unambiguous indication of year eg <br> - 92 |
| b | b |  |  | 1m | 1 | ! Units given Ignore |
| c | c |  |  | 1 m | 6 |  |



| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Place value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 5 |  |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a |  |  | 1 m | 2022 |  |
| b | b |  |  | 1 m | 20.22 or equivalent | ! Follow through from part (a) <br> Accept as their (a) $\div 100$ <br> ! Answer of 20 or 20.2 <br> Do not accept unless 20.22 is also seen, or 20 or 20.2 is from their follow through |
| c | c |  |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.45 or equivalent <br> Shows the values 5.85 and 5.4 or equivalent or <br> Shows the values 0.2 and 0.25 or equivalent or <br> Shows or implies a complete correct method with not more than one computational error eg <br> - 3.53 .3 <br> $2.35 \quad 2.1$ <br> $5.85 \quad \underline{5.3}$ (error) <br> Answer: 0.55 <br> - $5.85-4.4$ (error) $=1.45$ | $\times$ Conceptual error within a correct method eg <br> - $3.5+2.35=5.40$ <br> - $3.5+2.35=5.310$ <br> - 2.35 is bigger than 2.1 by 0.34 |



| Tier \& Question |  |  | 28 times table |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7\|6-8 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 7 | 1 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a | 1m | Gives a correct method to show that $9 \times 28=252$ <br> eg <br> - $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ \times \quad \frac{9}{25} \\ \hline \frac{252}{7}\end{array}$ [with evidence of the 7 tens] $\text { so } 72+180$ <br> - $10 \times 28=280,280-28$ <br> - $9 \times 30-18$ <br> - $2 \times 28=56$, <br> $4 \times 28=112$, <br> $8 \times 28=224$, so $224+28$ <br> - $9 \longdiv { 2 5 ^ { 7 } 2 }$ <br> [with evidence of the 7 tens] | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable indication <br> eg <br> - $72+180$ <br> - 280 - 28 <br> - 270 - 18 <br> ! Method uses repeated addition <br> Accept provided there is evidence of how the addition has been carried out <br> eg, accept <br> - 28 <br> 28 <br> $\frac{28}{\frac{252}{7}}$ <br> - $28,56,84,112,140,168,196,224,252$ <br> $\mathbf{x}$ Final answer incorrect <br> eg $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ \times \quad 9 \\ \hline \frac{152}{7} \end{array}$ |
| b | b | b | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | Shows or implies a complete correct method with not more than one computational error <br> The most common correct methods: <br> Use the relationship between $27 \times 28$ and $9 \times 28$ eg <br> - $3 \times 252$ (or their incorrect value from (a)) <br> - $252+252+252$ <br> Calculate $27 \times 28$ directly <br> eg <br> - $10 \times 27=270,270 \times 3=810$, <br> 810-54 $\text { so } 400+160+140+56$ <br> $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ \times \quad 27 \\ \hline 460 \text { (error) }\end{array}$ <br> $\frac{196}{656}$ | $\checkmark$ For 1 m, method uses repeated addition <br> $\times$ Conceptual error <br> eg $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & \times \frac{27}{56} \\ & \frac{196}{252} \\ & -20 \times 20=400,7 \times 8=56 \\ & 400+56=456 \end{aligned}$ |



| Tier \& Question |  |  | Paper |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 9 | 2 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \\ \text { or } \\ 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Completes all six entries correctly, ie   <br> Area   <br> Perimeter   <br> Square A 64 32 <br> Rectangle B 32 24 <br> Square C 16 16 <br> Completes at least four entries correctly <br> or <br> Completes either column correctly <br> Completes any one row correctly <br> or <br> Gives an incorrect value for the area of square A, but not 8 , then follows through correctly, by halving each time, to find the other two areas eg <br> or <br> Gives the two columns transposed but otherwise correct |  |
| b | b | b | 1m | 32 | ! Follow through from part (a) Accept as half their area of square A, provided this was not 8 , or their area of rectangle $B$, provided this was not 4 |
| c | c | c | 1 m <br> U1 | Indicates that the perimeter is greater than 24 cm and gives a correct explanation eg <br> - $8+8+$ a number bigger than 8 is bigger than 24 <br> - The hypotenuse is longer than 8 cm and the other two are 8 cm <br> - The diagonal is the longest side so it is greater than 8 cm <br> - $8^{2}+8^{2}=128, \sqrt{ } 128>8$ | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - It doesn't have 3 equal sides <br> - The slope is the biggest side <br> - The fold is $11 .(\ldots)$ (or $\sqrt{ } 128$ ) <br> - $128>8^{2}$ <br> - The longer side is about 10 <br> - The perimeter would be more like 26 <br> ! Incorrect units inserted <br> Ignore <br> $\mathbf{x}$ Incorrect statement <br> eg <br> - The longer side is 10 <br> - The perimeter is 26 <br> Note to markers: The length of the hypotenuse is 11.3 cm and the perimeter is 27.3 cm , to 3 s.f. |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | CD player |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 ${ }^{6}$ | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 10 | 4 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or <br> 1m | Gives all three correct values, ie <br> Gives two correct values | ! Units given Ignore <br> Follow through <br> For 1m, allow follow through from an incorrect value that is correctly divided by 2 , provided their values are not $10,5,2 \frac{1}{2}$ or 84, 42, 21 <br> eg, for 1 m accept |
| b | b | b |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | $£ 98.70$ <br> Shows the digits 987 <br> or <br> Shows or implies the addition of the three <br> values corresponding to $10 \%, 5 \%$ and $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$ eg <br> - $8.4+4.2+2.1$ <br> - 14.7 seen <br> - The sum of their 3 values from part (a) seen [with or without addition to 84] <br> or <br> Shows or implies a complete correct method with not more than one computational error eg <br> - $1.175 \times 84$ <br> - $84+\frac{17.5}{100} \times 84$ | ! Follow through from part (a) For 2 m , allow follow through as $84+$ the sum of their three values from part (a), provided at least one of their values is not an integer, and the total is rounded or truncated to a whole number of pence |




| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Hexagon patterns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 |  | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 13 | 7 |  |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ o r \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Shows the value 21 or 40 , with no evidence of an incorrect method or a method using counting on for the value <br> or <br> Shows a correct method for both types of tile with not more than one computational error eg <br> - $20+1,20 \times 2$ <br> - $20 \times 3+1$ <br> or <br> Shows a correct expression for the total number of hexagons, in which the terms in $n$ have been collected together eg <br> - $3 n+1$ <br> - $n \times 3+1$ | $\times$ For $2 m$ or 1m, incorrect notation eg, for 2 m <br> - $61 n$ <br> $\times$ For $1 m$, method shown uses counting on |



| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Sizing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 9 | 2 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a | 2 m <br> or <br> 1m | Gives the four values in the correct order eg <br> Shows any three of the values $25,9,27,16$, with no evidence of an incorrect method for a correct value <br> or <br> Gives the four values in order of size, largest to smallest |  |
| b | b | b | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | Shows the value 78125 , even if there is subsequent incorrect working <br> or <br> Shows or implies a complete correct method, with at least some correct processing, with not more than one computational error eg <br> - $3125 \times 100=312500$, $312500 \div 4$ <br> - $3125 \times 5=15625$ <br> $15625 \times 5$ <br> - 3125 $\begin{aligned} & \frac{25}{15525} \text { (error) } \\ & \frac{62500}{78025} \end{aligned}$ <br> - $3125 \times 10 \div 2=15125$ (error) <br> $15125 \times 10 \div 2=75625$ | $\times$ Follow through using their value for $5^{2}$ from part (a) <br> $\times$ Conceptual error <br> eg $\begin{array}{r} 3125 \\ \times \quad 25 \\ \hline 15625 \\ \hline 6250 \\ \hline 21875 \end{array}$ <br> - $5^{5}=3125,5^{2}=25$, $3125+25=3150$ <br> - $5^{2}=10,3125 \times 10=31250$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 10 | 3 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  | 2m <br> or <br> 1m | Gives all four correct operations, ie $\div$ <br> Gives any two correct operations |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Finding $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 11 | 4 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  |  | $2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> or $1 \mathrm{~m}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ or equivalent <br> Shows or implies a correct first step of algebraic manipulation that either reduces the number of terms or collects unknowns on one side of the equation and numbers on the other eg <br> - $14=2 y+1$ <br> - $3 y+13=5 y$ <br> - $14-1=5 y-3 y$ <br> - $13=2 y$ <br> - $13 \div 2$ |  |


| Tier \& Question |  |  | Favourite sport |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 12 | 5 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a |  | Indicates No and gives a correct explanation eg <br> - You can only find the mean of a set of numbers <br> - The data are in words not in figures so the mean cannot be found <br> - You can't add words up then divide by how many there are <br> - There are no numerical values | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - They are words <br> - You need numbers <br> - There are no quantities (or figures) <br> - You need to add them together <br> - You can't divide them (by 10) <br> $\times$ Incomplete explanation <br> eg <br> - You can't find the mean of sports <br> - You can't have fractions of a word <br> - Not enough information <br> $\mathbf{x}$ Their explanation shows misconceptions about the mean <br> eg <br> - You can't add them up and divide by 5 <br> - You can't divide a word by a word <br> - You can't find the mean of words unless you use the frequencies <br> - It doesn't say whether Hanif asked them to give the sports marks out of ten <br> - You can't put them in order because they are words not numbers <br> $\mathbf{x}$ Numerical values assigned <br> eg <br> - Yes, football and swimming are 8 letters, cricket and netball are 7 and hockey is 6 |
| b | b | b | 1m | Indicates Yes and gives a correct explanation eg <br> - The mode is the most common thing, so you can find it for numbers or words <br> - The mode is football as it was chosen most often, by four people <br> - You can see from the table what was the most popular sport | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation eg <br> - Most common <br> - Most popular <br> - More like football <br> - Highest is football <br> - Football is favourite <br> $\times$ Mode identified but not explained <br> eg <br> - The mode is football <br> - Four of the ten chose football so this is the mode <br> - Football appears more than once <br> $\times$ Incomplete or incorrect explanation <br> eg <br> - Most <br> - You can see how many picked each sport <br> - There's more than one of some results <br> - You can find the mode from both numbers and words <br> - Football was chosen the most as five people said that |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 13 | 6 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
| a | a | a | 1m | Gives a correct counter example, using a value that is less than or equal to one eg <br> - $-4 \times 2=-8$ which is not greater than 2 <br> - $0.1 \times 2=0.2,0.2<2$ <br> - $2 \times 1=2$ which is not greater than 2 <br> or <br> Gives a correct general explanation eg <br> - Two times a negative number is less than 2 <br> - Double a number between 0 and 1 is not greater than 2 | ! Throughout the question, the result of their counter example is not shown and/or the comparison is not explicit <br> Condone provided only one of these aspects is omitted <br> eg, for part (a) accept <br> - $-4 \times 2=-8$ <br> - $-4 \times 2<2$ <br> However, penalise only the first occurrence of both aspects omitted <br> eg, for part (a) <br> - $-4 \times 2$ <br> ! Throughout the question, their general statement makes no explicit comparison |
| b | b | b | 1m | Gives a correct counter example, using a value that is less than or equal to zero eg <br> - $2-(-3)=5,5>2$ <br> - $2-0=2$ which is not less than 2 <br> or <br> Gives a correct general explanation eg <br> - Two minus a negative number is greater than 2 | eg, for part (a) accept <br> - Multiply it by a negative number <br> - Numbers less than 1 <br> eg, for part (b) accept <br> - Take away a negative number <br> - Numbers less than 0 <br> eg, for part (c) accept <br> - Take a number from 0 to 1 and square it <br> - Positive numbers that are decimals starting with nought point... <br> ! Throughout the question, other numerical examples or general reasoning given alongside a correct response Ignore other numerical examples, even if they are incorrect or support the given statement If a correct counter example is given, ignore any general explanation unless it contradicts the counter example given |
|  | c | c | 1m | Indicates No and gives a correct counter example, using a value that is greater than or equal to zero and less than or equal to one eg <br> - $1^{2}=1$ which is equal not bigger <br> - $0 \times 0=0$, so it stays the same <br> - $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$ but $\frac{1}{4}<\frac{1}{2}$ <br> - $0.1 \times 0.1=0.01$, not greater than 0.1 <br> or <br> Indicates No and gives a correct general explanation <br> eg <br> - When you square a number between 0 and 1 the answer gets smaller not bigger <br> - Fractions bigger than zero that are not top heavy get smaller when squared | $\checkmark$ For part (c), minimally acceptable counter example eg <br> - $1^{2}=1$ <br> - $0 \times 0$ is not greater than 0 <br> - $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}<\frac{1}{2}$ <br> $\mathbf{x}$ For part (c), incorrect response eg <br> - $(-2)^{2}=-4$ which is less than -2 <br> - It's not true for negative numbers <br> - It is only true for numbers that are bigger than 1 <br> - It is not true for numbers that are smaller than 1 <br> - It's not true for decimals or fractions <br> - It's only false when the number is 1 |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  | Test |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5- | 5-7 6 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 211 | 14 | 7 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | a | a | a | 1m | N | ! N identified only on scatter graph Accept provided unambiguous <br> ! Highest total mark given Ignore if given with N If N is not given, accept a value between 82 and 83 inclusive |
|  | b | b | b | 1 m | Indicates True and gives a correct explanation eg <br> - The range for coursework is 40 , but the range for the test is 30 <br> - Coursework goes from 10 to 50 , test from 10 to 40 <br> - Both start at 10 but coursework goes to 50 rather than to 40 | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - 30, 40 seen <br> - Highest to lowest is bigger for coursework marks than for test marks <br> - Coursework marks spread over 8 squares of the graph, test marks over 6 squares <br> - The points are more spread out along the $x$-axis than along the $y$-axis <br> - They had a wider span of marks <br> - There's more variation in the cwk marks <br> - They're more scattered (or spread out) <br> - C/w results start at the same mark as test results, but finish at a higher mark <br> ! Ambiguous notation <br> eg <br> - Test marks 10 - 40 <br> Coursework 10 - 50 <br> Condone <br> ! Incorrect use of \% sign <br> Ignore <br> $\times$ Incomplete explanation <br> eg <br> - Coursework has a greater range than test marks <br> - Coursework has lowest 10 , highest 50 <br> - Coursework went up to 50 , test went up to 40 <br> - Coursework goes from 10 to 50 but test goes from 10 to 30 except for 2 pupils <br> - Coursework marks were varied, but test marks were mostly between 10 and 25 <br> $\times$ Incorrect explanation <br> eg <br> - The range for coursework was 40 , but the range for test was 20 <br> - The test marks are more scattered |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Tier \& Question} \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Test (cont)}} \\
\hline 3-5 4-6 \& 5-7 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 21 \& 14 \& 7 \& \& Correct response \& Additional guidance \\
\hline c \& c \& c \& 1m \& 70 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\checkmark\) Value on the line excluded \\
eg \\
- More than 70 \\
- Just over 70 \\
- 71 \\
! Range of total marks given \\
Accept provided all values win prizes \\
eg, accept \\
- At least 70 \\
- 70 or more \\
eg, do not accept \\
- About 70 \\
! Incorrect use of \% sign Ignore
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \& d \& d \& 2 m

or

1 m \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Indicates the correct region, ie <br>
Indicates both the lines $x=25$ and $y=25$, even if there are other errors <br>
or <br>
Indicates the line $x+y=65$, even if there are other errors

 \& 

$\checkmark$ Unambiguous indication of region eg <br>

- Correct region labelled R <br>
$!$ For $2 m$ or $1 m$, lines dotted or dashed Accept unless the intention is only to indicate specific points <br>
! Lines not ruled or accurate Accept provided the pupil's intention is clear <br>
! Line(s) drawn 'below' correct position in order to allow the region to include points on the line(s) <br>
Condone provided their line is parallel to the correct line, and is closer to the correct mark than to the correct mark -5 eg, for $x+y=65$ accept <br>
- Line parallel to $x+y=65$ and closer to $x+y=65$ than to $x+y=60$ <br>
! For 1 m, line(s) not full length Accept provided each line spans at least 10 marks
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}





| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  |  | Building |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5- | 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18 | 11 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  | a | a | 1m | Gives a correct explanation eg <br> - The highest was 11.7 , the smallest was 6.5 $11.7-6.5=5.2$ <br> - $11.7-6.5$ <br> - Count up from 6 to 11 , that's 5 , then count up from 5 to 7 for the 0.2 | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - 6.5 to 11.7 <br> - <br> ! Ambiguous notation <br> eg <br> - $6.5-11.7$ <br> Condone <br> $\mathbf{x}$ Maximum and minimum values given, but with no indication of how the range is found eg <br> - 11.7, 6.5 <br> $\times$ Values not identified or identified incorrectly <br> eg <br> - It's the largest - the smallest <br> - $11\|7-6\| 5=5.2$ |
|  |  | b | b | 1 m | 8.7 or equivalent | $\checkmark$ Unambiguous indication of correct value <br> ! Value identified incorrectly eg <br> - 817 <br> Condone if this error was penalised in part (a), otherwise do not accept |
|  |  | c | c | 1m | $33 \frac{1}{3}$ | ! Value rounded Accept 33 or better |




| Tier \& Question |  |  | Line of best fit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 21 | 14 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | a | a | 1m | Indicates that the correlation is negative | ! Negative qualified <br> Ignore qualifiers that accompany 'negative' eg, accept <br> - Strong negative <br> - A bit negative <br> Do not accept without 'negative' <br> eg, do not accept <br> - Strong <br> - Inverse <br> $\times$ Relationship described without reference to correlation <br> eg <br> - The more time spent studying, the less time spent watching television |
|  | b | b | 1m | Gives a correct explanation <br> The most common correct explanations: <br> Use the gradient or its meaning eg <br> - That would be positive correlation, not negative <br> - That would mean the more studying you do the more TV you watch <br> - The gradient should be negative, but $y=x+40$ has a positive gradient <br> - The gradient is -1 , not 1 <br> - It would slope up not down | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - It would not be negative [with 'negative' given for part (a), implying correlation] <br> - The gradient is not negative <br> - It would need to be $-x$ <br> - It would slope the wrong way <br> - It would go up not down <br> - It would look more like this: <br> [sketches any positive gradient] <br> $\times$ Incomplete explanation <br> eg <br> - It would not be negative [without 'negative' given for part (a)] <br> - The equation needs a minus sign <br> - The line is going in the wrong direction <br> - It's at the wrong angle |


| Tier \& Question |  |  | Line of best fit (cont) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 21 | 14 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | b | b | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { cont } \end{gathered}$ | Refer to the fact that the values of $y$ do not go above 40 <br> eg <br> - When $x$ is positive, $y$ would always be more than 40 , but none of the points are like this <br> - Adding 40 to the $x$ coordinates of the points gives different $y$ coordinates from theirs <br> - Nobody watched TV for more than 40 hours, but this equation would give hours watching TV as hours studying plus 40 <br> Use a point or points on the line of best fit, or the meaning of its coordinates <br> eg <br> - When $x$ is $10, y=10+40=50$, but on the graph it is 30 <br> - When $y$ is $0,0=x+40$ so $x=-40$, but the line of best fit goes to $(40,0)$ <br> - Someone studying for 20 hours would watch TV for about 20 hours, not 60 <br> - $y=x+40$ means that $y>x$, but this is not true for some of the points on the line <br> Give a correct equation for the line of best fit eg <br> - The line of best fit goes to 40 on both axes so the equation should be $x+y=40$ <br> - It should be $y=-x+40$ | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - The vertical scale only goes up to 40 <br> - All the $y$ s are below 40 [condone that this is not true at $(0,40)$ ] <br> - $10+40=50$, the graph doesn't go up that far <br> - Nobody watched TV for more than 40 hours <br> $\times$ Incomplete explanation <br> eg <br> - The scale only goes up to 40 <br> - Everything is below 40 <br> - Everything would be higher on the graph <br> - Nobody studied for more than 40 hours <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> -When $x=10, y=30$ so $y \neq x+40$ <br> - Line goes through $(40,0)$ but $y=40+40$ $y=80$ <br> - $20 \neq 20+40$ <br> - The equation shows that $y>x$, but this is not always true for the line <br> $\times$ Incomplete or incorrect explanation <br> eg <br> - $5 \neq 30+40$ [indicating use of the cross at $(30,5)$ rather than a value on the line of best fit] <br> $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - $x+y=40$ <br> - $y=-x+40$ <br> $\times$ For part (b), incorrect statement alongside a correct explanation <br> eg <br> - It should be $x+y=40, y=x+40$ would be a vertical line <br> - That would be positive correlation, the equation should be $y=x-40$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  |  | Thinking diagonally |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 5-7 | 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 22 | 15 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  | a | 1m | Gives a correct explanation that shows the correct application of Pythagoras' theorem eg $\begin{aligned} & \text {. } \sqrt{ }\left(5^{2}+5^{2}\right)=\sqrt{ }(25+25) \\ & 5 \times 5+5 \times 5=50, \text { so } y=\sqrt{ } 50 \\ & y^{2}=5^{2}+5^{2} \\ & y^{2}=50 \\ & y=\sqrt{ } 50 \end{aligned}$ <br> - It's an enlargement of a $1,1, \sqrt{ } 2$ triangle, so it's $5 \sqrt{ } 2$ and $5 \sqrt{ } 2=\sqrt{ } 50$ | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - $\sqrt{ }\left(5^{2}+5^{2}\right)$ <br> - $5^{2}+5^{2}$ <br> - $\sqrt{ }(25+25)$ <br> - $\sqrt{ }(2 \times 25)$ <br> - $2 \times 25=50$ [with no evidence of a misconception, eg about area] <br> - $5 \sqrt{ } 2=\sqrt{ }\left(5^{2} \times 2\right)$ <br> ! Throughout the question, incorrect notation or incorrect further working alongside a correct explanation <br> Condone <br> eg, for part (a) accept $\begin{aligned} & -y^{2}=5^{2}+5^{2} \\ & y^{2}=50 \\ & y^{2}(\text { error })=\sqrt{ } 50 \\ & 5 \times 5+5 \times 5=50 \\ & \text { so length is } \sqrt{ } 50=7.5 \text { (error) } \end{aligned}$ <br> $\times$ Incomplete or incorrect explanation <br> eg <br> - $y^{2}=50$ <br> - Use Pythagoras <br> - $\sqrt{ } 25+\sqrt{ } 25$ <br> - It's $2 \times \sqrt{ } 25$ <br> - $5 \times 10=50$ and $y=\sqrt{ } 50$ <br> - Area $=5 \times 5 \times 2$ $=50$ <br> - $5 \times 5=25$ which is half the square, so $25 \times 2=50$ |
|  |  | b | 1 m | Indicates $\sqrt{ } 200$ and gives a correct explanation eg <br> - $2 \sqrt{ } 50=\sqrt{ } 4 \times \sqrt{ } 50=\sqrt{ } 200$ <br> - The sides would be 10 cm $\sqrt{ }\left(10^{2}+10^{2}\right)=\sqrt{ } 200$ <br> - $10 \sqrt{ } 2=\sqrt{ } 100 \times \sqrt{ } 2=\sqrt{ } 200$ <br> - $\sqrt{ } 100$ is $10,10 \div 2=5$ but the length of the diagonal of the small square is $>5$ <br> - $\sqrt{ } 100=10$, but $\sqrt{ } 50 \neq 5$ | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation eg <br> - $2 \sqrt{ } 50=\sqrt{ } 4 \times \sqrt{ } 50$ <br> - $\sqrt{ }\left(10^{2}+10^{2}\right)$ <br> - $10^{2}+10^{2}$ <br> - $10 \times 10=100,100 \times 2$ <br> $\times$ Incomplete or incorrect explanation eg <br> - $\sqrt{ } 200=2 \sqrt{ } 50$ <br> - $10 \sqrt{ } 2$ <br> - $\sqrt{ } 100=10$ <br> - $50 \times 2$ then $\times 2$ again <br> - Area $=10 \times 10 \times 2$ $=200$ |


| Tier \& Question |  |  |  | Rounding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 4-6 | 5-7 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  | 16 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  | a | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \\ \text { or } \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $8.7 \times 10^{4}$ <br> Shows the value 86 790, not expressed in any kind of index form <br> or <br> Shows the digits 87 | ! Throughout the question, zero(s) given after the last decimal place within standard form notation <br> Condone <br> eg, for 2 m in part (a) accept <br> - $8.7000 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | b | $\begin{array}{\|c\|\|} \hline 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ \\ \\ \hline \mathrm{or} \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ <br> Shows the value 0.0008679 or equivalent, not expressed in any kind of index form or <br> Shows the value 0.001 or equivalent eg $0.1 \times 10^{-2}$ <br> or <br> Shows the value 0.0009 or equivalent eg <br> - $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$ <br> - $0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |




| Tier | \& Q | uestion | Equation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 | 4-6 | 5-7 6-8 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 19 |  | Correct response | Additional guidance |
|  |  | a | 1 m | $(+) 20$ and -20 , in either order | $\checkmark$ Answer of $\pm 20$ |
|  |  | b | 1m | Gives a correct explanation <br> eg <br> - The denominator is zero, and fractions with denominators of zero are not defined <br> - $\frac{60}{0}$ isn't defined | $\checkmark$ Minimally acceptable explanation <br> eg <br> - The denominator would be zero <br> - You can't divide by 0 <br> - There's nothing to divide 60 by <br> - $\frac{60}{0}$ <br> ! Use of 'infinity’ <br> Condone <br> eg, accept <br> - The closer the denominator gets to 0 , the more the fraction tends towards infinity <br> - Anything divided by $0=$ infinity <br> - $\frac{60}{0}=\infty$ <br> $\times$ Incomplete or incorrect explanation <br> eg <br> - It's $\frac{60}{\sqrt{0}}$ and that's impossible <br> - Because $10-10=0$ <br> - You cannot divide by zero and you cannot find the square root of zero <br> - The denominator would be zero but $\frac{60}{0}=60$ <br> - $\frac{60}{0}=0$ |
|  |  | c | 1m | Gives a value less than 10 | $\checkmark$ Correct set of values described eg <br> - $x<10$ <br> - Less than 10 |
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